

EDITORIAL BOARD OF REVIEW

Lewis A. Bayles, Chairman
Department of Foundations of Education
Atlanta University, Atlanta, Georgia

Don B. Croft, Director
Claude C. Dove Learning Center
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces

James A. McCain, President
Kansas State University, Manhattan

Donna M. Rudolph, Associate Professor
Sangamon State University
Springfield, Illinois

Fred T. Wilhelms
Lincoln, Nebraska

Co-Editors

Charles E. Litz, Assistant Professor
Department of Administration and
Foundations
Kansas State University, Manhattan

Warren I. Paul, Assistant Professor
Department of Curriculum and Instruction
Kansas State University, Manhattan

PUBLICATION INFORMATION

Educational Considerations is published at the College of Education, Kansas State University. **Educational Considerations** and Kansas State University do not accept responsibility for the views expressed in articles, reviews, and other contributions appearing in this publication. In keeping with the professional educational concept that responsible free expression can promote learning and encourage awareness of truth, contributors are invited to submit conclusions and opinions concerned with varying points of view in and about education.

Educational Considerations is published three times yearly in fall, winter, and spring. Editorial offices are at the College of Education, Holton Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506. Correspondence about manuscripts and reviews should be addressed to the Editor. Unsolicited manuscripts should be accompanied by a self-addressed envelope with sufficient postage to insure its return. No remuneration is offered for accepted articles or other material submitted.

Material submitted to **Educational Considerations** can be as brief as a paragraph or as long as, say, 2000 words. All of it, including indented quoted matter and footnotes, should be typed double-spaced. Footnotes should be at the end of the manuscript. Headings should not be underlined. Please submit three copies of each manuscript. The author's complete name, address, and telephone number should be on a separate sheet attached to the original or one copy of the manuscript, not on any copies of the manuscript itself. Photographs, drawings, cartoons, and other illustrations are welcome. Authors should be prepared to provide, if requested, copies of permission to quote copyrighted matter. Queries about possible articles are certainly encouraged; however, a favorable reply is not to be considered a request for the article. For manuscript style other than specified above, be guided by the **Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association**, 1967 Revision (1200 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036; 1972 printing, \$1.50). The editors reserve the right to make grammatical corrections and minor changes in article texts to improve clarity. Address questions about special styles to the Editor.

Subscription to **Educational Considerations** is \$4.00 per year, with single copies \$1.50 each. Correspondence about subscriptions should be addressed to the Business Manager, c/o The Editor, **Educational Considerations**, College of Education, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506. Checks for subscriptions should be made out to **Educational Considerations**.

Printed in the United States of America.

educational considerations

Volume 1, Number 2: Fall 1973

From The Editor's Viewpoint:

The New Seriousness: To What Purpose? Inside Front Cover

Educational Consumership and Tomorrow's Schools

John R. Dettre 2

An Invitation To Authors 6

Upgrading The Employment Scene In Chicago

Gerald D. Bailey and LaVisa Wilson 7

Should Compulsory Education Laws Be Modified?

Sister Antonita Diederich 10

Vietnam And Beyond: The Challenge To Educators

Richard A. Brosio 13

An Environmental Language Approach For Increasing
Behavior Of Retarded Children

Mildred Odom, Rex R. Boatman, and Dale D. Baum 15

John Dewey On History In Elementary And
Secondary Education

George C. Stone 20

Donna Rudolph Joins Editorial Board Of
Review

Inside Back Cover

From The Editor's Viewpoint

the new seriousness: to what purpose?

To the perceptive educator, and even to those not so perceptive, it is clear that the nation has begun a serious in-depth reassessment of American public education. Of course, dissatisfaction with our schools has existed for years, but it has been most pointedly expressed by special interest groups—an elite of the concerned. The breadth of the current seriousness is what is new. As long as education was the preserve of an elite it was not the concern of the many. That has changed, and now everyone, regardless of calling, appears interested in educational reform, or at least the rhetoric of reform.

The mounting acrid criticism of public education and the basic assumptions underlying its practice have been both beneficial and injurious. They have been beneficial in that the problems confronting education have been redefined, the assumptions demythologized, and the need for assessment and planning confirmed; injurious in that the clamor for reform, accountability, frugality, and efficiency often disguised a rather crude attempt to make public education into something it was not intended to be, that is, a super-efficient vehicle for vast and far-reaching social change. Educators and critics who claim that public education must be all things to all people do everyone a great disservice.

In purely quantitative terms, American public educators are attempting at the present time a vastly more ambitious and complex undertaking than ever before. The schools increasingly are being called on to assume social responsibilities that cannot, as so many would have us believe, be dealt with by other agencies in our society. An undertaking so boundless is certain to be both inefficient and lacking in human effectiveness. Pressures for consolidation of educational effort to achieve efficiency and facilitate accountability too often operate to submerge human values.

Traditionally, Americans have been apathetically or actively willing to accept a certain degree of operational inefficiency rather than completely crush out diversity—considered a major strength in American education—or end local control—viewed as a shield against ruthless centralized domination. Now, however, we see inefficiency—and human ineffectiveness—increased by polarization of educators into self-centered power groups, however justified by an exploitative society. We see continuing attempts to repress and direct students rather than to involve them in joint educational responsibilities. A case could be made that our schools are often too efficient in the control of students and not efficient enough in the use of funds and personnel. When we speak of efficiency in education we should distinguish between the two.

The current excessive reliance of many educators on methods concerned with control, power, and efficiency, methods borrowed from the world of big business for the sake of more control, are, we suggest, the very determinants of the crisis in our classrooms. Not until growing numbers of us act to develop cooperative educational directions based on such positive values as acceptance of others without fear, encouragement to develop individual talents, and humanistic utilization of those talents for complementary accomplishment will we begin to resolve that crisis.

C. E. L.