

*The Mass Media in Extension: A Review of Recent Literature*¹

JACK C. EVERLY

A NEW THRUST for the 1970's is noted in the current research and evaluative efforts of Cooperative Extension personnel as they try to assess the role of mass media in expanded areas of work. Tichenor describes this new tone to investigations now underway as research on mass communication that deals with the problems resulting from major social issues of our time. The intent is to contribute more to the process of social decision-making (9).

In a key position paper on environmental communication, Ross suggests there are important changes afoot even though environmental quality in the 1970's presents a paradox. In the future we will rely more on technology to solve environmental problems than we have relied on technology in the past to develop and exploit our natural resources. This has important implications for mass communication efforts of the Cooperative Extension Service. Ross assumes that the same systems of information flow won't operate as successfully as they have in the past. For example, the Land-Grant Agricultural Colleges and Cooperative Extension Service pioneered the system of transmitting technical information and have been brilliantly successful. As new information flow systems are developed, Ross says two vital questions should be answered: (1) Is the inherently complex system understood by people who will make the decisions? (2) What kind or volume of communication acts as a constraint or as a synergist on decision-making?

¹ Reprinted from February-March 1971 issue of *Mass Media/Adult Education*, Mass Media in Adult Education Section, Adult Education Association of the USA. Published in cooperation with the ERIC Clearinghouse of Adult Education.

The flow of information is increasing, but that does not in itself mean resolution of problems. Rather it may cause the opposite effect (8).

As Watson puts it, traditionally, the Cooperative Extension Service has relied heavily on mass means of communication to reach people with information, ideas, and suggestions pertaining to the home, the family, the farm, the community, and resource development in its broadest aspects. More recently efforts have been extended to encompass a variety of public affairs issues. The negative results evident in many instances suggest that perhaps, as extension workers, too much dependence has been placed on mass communications without fully understanding human nature or the culture of the region. Extension personnel need to recognize the dual role of mass communications systems: providing for social control and providing for harmonious social change (10).

In his search for an information systems model, Lionberger senses the growing differentiation of organization and function to supply the needed information on problems of environmental quality. Worldwide horizontal communications as well as vertical communications are a major concern (5).

News Flow Aids Resource Conservation

Mass communications have been little studied with respect to natural resource issues, contends Witt. In one of the first quantitative treatments in this area, he found an indication that relevant news flow in the press may in fact be significantly associated with accomplishment of social action affecting natural resources (11). Gregory assessed the social factors influencing the effectiveness of mass media for home economics (3).

Byrn (2), Myren (4), Ohlige (6), and Rogers (7) developed significant bibliographies in their respective fields concerning extension's use of mass media.

During the 1960's extension communication researchers used the basic SMCR (SOURCE-MESSAGE-CHANNEL-RECEIVER) communications model to design their studies. A number of efforts concentrated on the source. Representative of these are Brown (12), Greeneisen (14), Lawson and Dail (15), Nemicik (16), Rogers (18), Thomas and Evans (19), and Tichenor, Olien, and Donohue (20). Many of these studies found that the source

was sometimes a major channel. It was Webb and Read who recognized this and concentrated on the information flow to the extension adviser. Their objective was to improve the efficiency of this information flow. This pioneer information system study does not mention mass media, as such, but is concerned with the extension adviser as a gate-keeper of information that may be channeled through mass media.

Field studies like Rieger's and Anderson's established information sources and need hierarchies that portrayed the importance of mass media. Rural dwellers tend to identify the Cooperative Extension Service as a significant source in connection with occupation, professional or farm matters, and consumer matters, while this is not so much the case for city dwellers (17).

The credibility and reliability of Cooperative Extension as a source was not challenged in the literature.

Mass media as channels came under considerable scrutiny. Cooperative Extension was wary of impersonal communications after the apparent success of person-to-person communications. Numerous studies similar to the ones by Bostian (22), Ross (24), and Tichenor (25) sought to determine the effectiveness of mass media for extension's work.

Summarizing several studies, Wilson concluded that the old cliché "know your audience" in order to plan effective use of mass media is always appropriate. Her findings focus on the differences that exist among people in using mass media (26).

Everly made an intensive survey between January 1962 and July 1967 of the use of mass media as a tool for continuing education instruction. He observed a gradual increase in extension's use of the mass media as devices to help extension's clientele acquire information largely through individual instruction methods (23).

Printed Matter Well Studied

Numerous efforts have been made to study mass-produced printed matter. In Montgomery County, Ohio, Efionayi found the newsletter an effective medium for teaching homemakers of low-income families about nutrition principles. He found a positive relationship between the level of education, the motivation to read and adopt favorable action, and the amount of knowledge obtained from the newsletter (29).

Of the educational techniques used by Cooperative Extension, Oren found that clientele ranked newsletters and newspapers among the most used (31). Watson found the newsletter an excellent way to reach client groups with information. In his study, 82 per cent remembered receiving copies of the newsletter, 65 per cent recalled its general content, 37 per cent discussed the content with friends and neighbors, and 29 per cent used the newsletter as a reference in discussing public affairs (32).

Newsletter Proves Effective

Kern *et al.* found the newsletter effective as a channel for social and economic development information in a new multi-county unit structure where conventional mass media were not able to provide avenues for deep penetration of facts (30).

Both women and men preferred the newsletter as a method of receiving extension information according to 10 studies conducted by Brown *et al.* (28).

In a rare study of the short extension bulletin as a means of mass communications to the poor, Ahlschede was successful in running a pre-test with the assistance of the intended audience — low-income homemakers. She discovered significant information about the audience and concluded that a bulletin with empathy for the poor would convey the message (27).

In terms of the 1970's, Felstehausen sees new opportunities and new roles for the community newspaper in the expanded thrust of Cooperative Extension into rural community development. At present the weekly newspapers have very little news-gathering capacity. Consequently, complex and detailed community development issues are never reported. Because no one else publishes such information, local citizens are cut off from in-depth reporting of changes in local organization and environment. He suggests experimental studies to see how this task can be accomplished with existing personnel and resources (36).

Looking at controversial environmental issues, Donohue *et al.* found that persons with more extreme attitudes would not selectively misunderstand newspaper articles. They found that extreme individuals, identified by their concern about issues, such as the placement of a nuclear power plant, would have more thorough understanding of the articles read. They cite the possibility that selective tendencies to distort information may be

counterbalanced by the even stronger tendencies to accurately acquire information on all sides of an issue so that one might be better equipped to defend a given position (33).

Evans (34,35), Heasley (37), Tichenor (38), and White (39) used different approaches to evaluate newspapers as channels for extension information. With fewer farmers, there has been a decline in the use of hard technical agricultural information. However, newspapers are using more information from extension in nutrition, community development, and other expanded non-technical agriculture areas.

A number of studies indicate that extension has found the "hidden medium" — radio — a successful channel. The newest wrinkle is in the training of extension personnel to use the medium. Marsh and Hyman (46) confirmed previous studies by Tait (49) that programmed instruction was as effective as workshop instruction in (1) increasing the extension agent's knowledge of radio, (2) developing more positive attitudes toward the use of radio in extension teaching, and (3) changing the agents' concepts of their ability to do radio teaching. Programmed instruction seems to offer possibilities in in-service training by eliminating difficulties caused by distance, varying experience and levels of knowledge, and the delay in getting new agents into group training.

Two-Way Radio May Be Adapted

A case study of rapid, effective communication by two-way radio is reported by Swoboda. By using a two-way microwave network in Nebraska, specialized expertise was extended to solve local problems and to increase the service to extension's clientele (48).

In extensive television programs produced by extension in Missouri, Gregory observed that county staffs spent six to 12 months in planning to fully use the televised effort. Some staffs could have used more time (58). The importance of lead time is confirmed by Alexander (50), Brown (52), Eschler (53), and Heasley (59). Worrall found knowledge about specific recommended practices in gardening and ornamental horticulture could be successfully communicated through the use of open-circuit television. The amount of increase in knowledge is influenced

by the socioeconomic characteristics and the communication habits of the viewer (67).

Deutschmann and McNelly conducted a detailed study of Michigan's 4-H TV Electrical Club, the forerunner of present television offerings by extension for 4-H members and leaders. Results indicate that a program with substantial education content would attract, interest, inform, and influence 4-H members even if they were viewing in one of their homes rather than with their standard 4-H Club group (56).

Pro and Con TV Reports

In summarizing her own televised nutrition work along with other studies, Medved concluded that television was effective in teaching home economics and related subjects (64). However, Brown *et al.* reported unsatisfactory results with a program to help 1,400 homemakers better manage their day. A workbook was rated more helpful than the televised programs. Very few of the homemakers did any of the homework suggested (52).

Woods reports excellent use of public service spot announcements distributed by extension to commercial television stations (66).

In the late 1960's, extension workers became interested in the telephone as a means of mass communication. Blackwood and Trent concluded that tele-lecture was as effective as face-to-face contact in regard to the amount of learning that can be expected (68). Comparing tele-lecture with conventional lecture, Ewbank and Baker found no significant differences (69). McKay reports success in a case study of the tele-lecture technique that included as many as nine remote groups at a time.

Extension's attention has turned from mass media for mass audience to mass media for specialized audiences. Pates' study of rural poverty and low-income groups is an example. Communicators need to recognize an important psychological aspect in communicating with low-income audiences . . . that of fear . . . fear of being identified with an economically disadvantaged group (83).

Scherer documents the problems in developing a film system to reach the hard to reach with hard to teach information in the Expanded Foods and Nutrition Project of the Cooperative Extension Service. Assuming the system must contain elements of

the medium most utilized by the low-income audience — that of television — Scherer's case study shows how mass-produced components of a Super 8 film system is now in use to reach a potential audience of 1.8 million needy Illinois residents (85).

Suburbanites can be served by extension with mass media and specialized media campaigns, observed Troidahl during his "two-step flow" study in Massachusetts. He found that followers, as well as opinion leaders, apparently can be reached directly through mediated communication without much personal contact (86).

The search for consistency by extension clientele relates directly to the role mass media can serve in disseminating information to solve problems. Everly contends that by understanding this search for consistency, extension personnel will be better able to meet their clients' need for information (77).

Mass Media Create Awareness

Turning to technical agriculture, Verner and Gubbels conducted an experiment exploring the adoption of innovations by dairy farmers. They found that, at the awareness stage, the mass media were the most important and constituted about 55 per cent of all the sources reported. This use of the mass media showed a sharp decline to the interest stage, followed by a gradual drop in use to the trial stage and no use of mass media reported at the adoption stage (87). This pattern of mass media use is consistent with previous research identified in the bibliography developed by Rogers (7).

Extension staff working with low-income farmers must identify and use "convenient" information sources for this particular clientele. "Convenience," according to Lee, is a very strong factor in a low-income farmer's judgment of a particular information source. Lee concludes that because there is no single total low-income farm audience, there is no one communication strategy that can be used. There must be as many strategies as there are audiences. Communication strategies must be flexible to meet the specific conditions needed to communicate with each audience (81).

Bibliography

I. THEORIES AND PRINCIPLES OF UTILIZATION OF MASS MEDIA FOR EXTENSION

- (1) AXINN, G. H. 1968. A Conceptual Framework for Analysis of Communication In Rural Social Systems. Mich. State U., 8.
- (2) BYRN, DARCIÉ. 1970. Recently Noted Extension Research Titles on Communication-Theory, Methodology, Media. ER&E-37 Ext. Ser., USDA, 23.
- (3) GREGORY, O. 1969. Social Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of Mass Media In A Coordinated Approach to the Teaching of Home Economics Subject Matter. U. of Mo. Agr. Expt. Sta., 9.
- (4) MYREN, DELBERT T. 1965. Bibliography-Communications in Agricultural Development. Rockefeller Foundation. Mexico City, Mexico.
- (5) LIONBERGER, HERBERT F. 1970. A General Information Systems Model and Implications for Problems of Environmental Quality. Proceedings NRC-44 Reg. Com. on Comm. Through Mass Media, U. of Wis.
- (6) OHLIGER, JOHN. 1968. The Mass Media in Adult Education: A Review of the Recent Literature. ERIC Clearinghouse of Adult Education, Syracuse, 123.
- (7) ROGERS, EVERETT M. 1967. Bibliography of the Diffusion of Innovations. Diffusion of Innovations Res. Rpt. No. 6, Dept. of Comm. Mich. State U.
- (8) ROSS, JOHN E. 1970. Communications and Community Decisions About Environmental Quality Control. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Com. on Comm. Through Mass Media, U. of Wis., Jan. 22-23.
- (9) Symposium on Mass Communication Research and Environmental Decision Making. 1970. NCR-44 Reg. Com. on Comm. Through Mass Media, U. of Wis., Jan. 22-23.
- (10) WATSON, LEIGHTON G. 1969. Communications and Group Processes. ACE, LII., No. 3, 11-17.
- (11) WITT, BILL. 1970. Communications Approach to the Study of a Natural Resources Issue - Multivariate Analysis of Factors in Shoreland Zoning in Wisconsin Counties. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Com. on Comm. Through Mass Media, U. of Wis., Jan. 22-23.

II. SOURCE

- (12) BROWN, ANNA B. 1964. Woman Influentials. J. of Coop. Ext. II, No. 2, 97-104.
- (13) CARPENTER, W. L. 1969. Principles of Effective Communication. N.C. State U., Dept. of Agr. Inf., Agr. Comm. L. 8., 30.
- (14) GREENEISEN, Jon. 1962. How Illinois Farm Advisors Use Mass Media - And Why. Agr. Comm. Res. Rep. 8. Col. of Agr., U. of Ill.
- (15) LAWSON, WIN M. AND HOWARD DAIL. 1966. Sources of Information for Farmers. J. of Coop. Ext. IV, No. 3, 163-168.

- (16) NEMCIK, ROBERT L. 1962. Does It Matter Who Says So? 100 Illinois Dairy Farmers Appraise Dairy Information Sources. Agr. Comm. Res., Rpt. 7, U. of Ill. Col. of Agr.
- (17) RIEGER, JON' H. AND ROBERT O. ANDERSON. 1968. Information Source and Need Hierarchies of an Adult Population in Five Michigan Counties. Adult Education XVIII, No. 3, 155-175.
- (18) ROGERS, E. M. AND M. D. YOST. 1960. Communication Behavior of County Extension Agents. Res. Bull. 850, Ohio Agr. Expt. Sta., Wooster.
- (19) THOMAS, SU ANN J. AND JAMES F. EVANS 1963. Where Farmers Get Information. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 14, Col. of Agr., U. of Ill.
- (20) TICHENOR, P. J., C. N. OLIEN, AND G. A. DONOHUE. 1966. Editors and Extension Agents: How They View Rural Issues in Minnesota. U. of Minn., Agr. Expt. Sta., Misc. Rpt. 74, 16.
- (21) WEBB, C. AND H. READ. 1965. Analysis of Information Flow From Sources to Illinois Farm Advisors to People. U. of Ill. Agr. Ext. Ser., Ext. Ed. O., Comm. Eval. Rpt. 7, Col. of Agr., U. of Ill., 9

III. MESSAGE

(See other sections for appropriate references.)

IV. CHANNEL

A. Mass media, general

- (22) BOSTIAN, LLOYD R. AND JOHN E. ROSS. 1962. Mass Media and the Wisconsin Farm Family. Res. Bull. 234, Agr. Expt. Sta., U. of Wis.
- (23) EVERLY, JACK C. 1969. Continuing Education Instruction Via the Mass Media. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. No. 24, U. of Ill.
- (24) ROSS, JOHN E. AND LLOYD R. BOSTIAN. 1965. A Survey of Wisconsin Farm Women to Determine Their Functional Orientation Toward Mass Media. Bull. 33, Dept. of Agr. Jour., U. of Wis.
- (25) TICHENOR, P. J. 1966. Mass Media and Individual Decisions. NCR-44 Workshop, Ames, Iowa, Sept. 27.
- (26) WILSON, ROSSLYN. 1963. Rural Families and the Mass Media. J. of Coop. Ext. I, No. 1.

B. Newsletters and direct mail

- (27) AHLSCHEDE, MARCRETHE P. 1970. Pre-testing a Publication for Low Income Homemakers. Rpt. No. 10, Dept. of Agr. Info., N.C. State U., 31.
- (28) BROWN, EMORY J. et al. 1968. The Evaluator—Reporting Research for the Extension Worker. Coop. Ext. Ser., Penn. State U. No. 30.
- (29) EFIONAYI, JOSEPH AIBANGBEE BEN. 1970. The Newsletter as a Communication Medium In Teaching Low-Income Homemakers. Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State U., 216.
- (30) KERN, ROBERT K., GEORGE M. BEAL, AND HERBERT G. LINGREN. 1965. Readership and Response to an Area Development Newsletter — III: Summary of Communication Aspects. Ext. Res. Study, Iowa State U.

- (31) OREN, JOHN WILLIAM, JR. 1970. An Appraisal by Clientele of the Ohio Cooperative Extension Service. Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State U.
- (32) WATSON, LEIGHTON. 1970. Do Extension Newsletters Really Work? Rpt. 4, W. Va. U., Appalachian Ctr., 21.

C. Newspapers and magazines

- (33) DONOHUE, G. A., P. J. TICHENOR, AND C. N. OLIEN. 1970. Attitudes and Mass Media Learning About Two Environmental Issues. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Com. on Comm. Through Mass Media, U. of Wis., Jan. 22-23.
- (34) EVANS, J. F. 1966. Agricultural News in Illinois Daily Newspapers. Bull. 717, Ill. Agr. Expt. Sta., 34.
- (35) EVANS, J. F. et al. 1965. Homemaking News in Illinois Daily Newspapers. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 22, Col. of Agr., U. of Ill., 34.
- (36) FELSTEHUSEN, HERMAN. 1971. Opportunities for Communication Research in Community Development. NCR-44 Reg. Meeting of Mass Comm. Res., U. of Ill., Jan. 21-22.
- (37) HEASLEY, DARYL K. 1965. Evaluation of Extension's Radio and Newspaper Programing in Columbia County, Pennsylvania, Ext. Studies No. 28, Col. of Agr., Penn. State U.
- (38) TICHENOR, P. J., G. A. DONOHUE, AND C. N. OLIEN. 1965. Purposive Communications. Ext. Study No. 6, Agr. Ext. Ser., U. of Minn.
- (39) WHITE, JAMES HASHELL. 1962. Why Editors Use or Don't Use Farm News: A Case Study. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 10. Col. of Agr., U. of Ill.

D. Radio

- (40) ENGLE, N. E. 1968. Adult Radio Listening Patterns and Extent of Listening to Extension Broadcasts in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania. M.A. Thesis, Mich. State U., 71.
- (41) BROWN, EMORY J. 1962. Research Findings - Extension Radio and Television. Ext. Studies 18. Col. of Agr., Penn. State U.
- (42) EVANS, JAMES F. 1963. Illinois Radio Stations and Their Agricultural News. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 18. U. of Ill.
- (43) Extension Editorial Office. 1963. Midmorning Radio and Television Listening in East-Central Illinois. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 19, U. of Ill.
- (44) KENWARD, J. R. 1965. The Radio Audience's Environment and Its Effect Upon Retention of Agricultural News. Seminar Rpt. (M.S. in Coop. Ext. Ed.), U. of Wis., 93.
- (45) NIX, W. G. 1967. A Study of Two Types of Radio Programming as an Agricultural Extension Service Method for Farmers in Crisp County. Proj. Rpt. M. Agr. Ext. Degree, U. of Ga., 76.
- (46) MARSH, PAUL C. AND THEODORE M. HYMAN. 1964. Programmed Learning in Extension Training. Tech. Bull. No. 161, N.C. Agr. Expt. Sta.

- (47) OHLIGER, JOHN. 1968. What Happened to the Canadian Farm Radio Forum. Adult Education XVIII, No. 3, 176-187.
- (48) SWOBODA, DONALD W. 1970. Two-Way Radio for Extension. J. of Ext. VII, No. 3, 42-45.
- (49) TAIT, ELTON. 1962. A Comparison of Self-Instruction and a Workshop as Methods of Training Newly Hired County Extension Personnel in the Subject of Radio Broadcasting. Ext. Study No. 15, Col. of Agr., Penn. State U.

E. Television

- (50) ALEXANDER, FRANK D., JOSEPH C. DELL, JR., AND RICHARD E. ESCHLER. 1962. Evaluation Study of the TV Dairy Cattle Feeding School. Off. of Ext. Studies Cornell U.
- (51) BARON, LLOYD C. 1965. An Evaluation of Local Educational Extension Television Programs by Rural People and Agricultural Extension Agents in Metropolitan Area of Portland Oregon. Thesis, U. of Ariz.
- (52) BROWN, EMORY J., HELEN BELL, ELEANOR J. PEARL, AND EUNICE N. TIBBOTT. 1964. Evaluation of a Time Management Television Program. Penn. State U. Ext. Ser.
- (53) BJORKMAN, S. R. 1968. An Assessment of the 4-H TV Action Club Program. M.S. Thesis, U. of Wis., 102.
- (54) CHAPITIS, GLADYS. 1964. Making a Television Series. J. of Home Econ. LVI, 101.
- (55) CHENEY, M. A., E. J. WAGES, AND F. D. ALEXANDER. 1969. Evaluation of TV Series Beginning Sewing, Albany Area, New York Cooperative Extension. Ext. Studies, Spec. Rpt. 24. Cornell U. 38.
- (56) DEUTSCHMANN, PAUL J. AND JOHN T. MCNELLY. 1958. Audience and Effects of the 4-H TV Electrical Club Series. Mich. Quart. Bull XL, No. 2.
- (57) ESCHLER, RICHARD E., JOSEPH C. DELL, JR., AND FRANK D. ALEXANDER. 1962. Evaluating Television for Extension Teaching. Ext. Ser. Rev. XXXIII, 181-189.
- (58) GREGORY, ORRINE. 1967. Coordinated Mass Media. Ext. Ser. Rev. XXXVIII, No. 7, 10-11.
- (59) HEASLEY, DARYL K. 1966. Evaluation of Dairy Housing Systems TV School. Penn. State U.
- (60) ----- . 1963. Evaluation Study of the Farm Business Analysis TV School. Penn. State U.
- (61) KEEL, VERNON. 1968. Extension and ETV: A Program Evaluation. A Preliminary Rpt., Agr. Ext. Ser., U. of Minn.
- (62) LAWRENCE, JAMES E. 1963. Television and the Communication Process as Related to the Extension Program. Ser. No. 13. Dept. of Ext. Teaching and Info., Cornell U.

- (63) MCKAY, GERALD R. 1967. Television Activities of Agricultural Information Offices in Nine Land Grant Universities. Agr. Ext. Ser., U. of Minn.
- (64) MEDVED, EVA. 1966. Teaching by Television. J. of Coop. Ext. IV, No. 1, 28-34.
- (65) PITZER, RONALD L. 1967. Parents, Children, and Television. Family Living No. 29, Agr. Ext. Ser., U. of Minn.
- (66) WOODS, JOHN. 1965. How Illinois Television Stations Use Public Service Spot Announcements. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 23., U. of Ill., Col. of Agr., 22.
- (67) WORRALL, ROBERT PAUL. 1965. The Use of Television for Instruction in Adult Education. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Mich. State U.

F. Telephone

- (68) BLACKWOOD, HELEN, AND CURTIS TRENT. 1968. A Comparison of the Effectiveness of Face-to-Face and Remote Teaching in Communications Education Information to Adults. ES-4, Coop. Ext. Ser., Kan. State U.
- (69) EWBANK, H. L., JR., AND E. E. BAKER. 1968. Tele-Lecture or Traditional Lecture? J. of Coop. Ext. VI, No. 1, 46-50.
- (70) MCKAY, GERALD R. 1970. Tele-teaching - Party Line Revived. Ext. Ser. Rev. XLI, No. 11, 10-11.
- (71) MOCKER, D. W. 1967. A Study to Determine How Telelecture and Remote Blackboard Equipment Affects the Teaching-Learning Situation. Ext. Ed. Dept., Spec. Rpt. 3, U. of Mo., 3.
- (72) SWOBODA, D. W. 1966. Evaluation of the Inward Wats Service of the Cooperative Extension Service, University of Nebraska. Univ. of Neb., 19.

V. RECEIVER

- (73) BRILL, NAOMI. 1966. Communicating with Low Income Families. ACE IL, No. 1.
- (74) BROWN, EMORY, LOUISE W. HAMILTON, MARGUERITE KRACKHARDT, AND JANET E. MILLER. 1965. Evaluation of Foods and Nutrition Educational Program for Low-Income Families in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. Ext. Study No. 32. Penn. State U.
- (75) CARDOZIER, V. R. 1966. Where Do Farmers Get Their News, Information? ACE XLVIII, No. 5.
- (76) DONOHEW, LEWIS. 1969. Communications and Readiness for Change in Appalachia. Journ. Quart. XXXIV, 679-687.
- (77) EVERLY, JACK C. 1967. Search for Consistency. J. of Coop. Ext. V, No. 2, 93-100.
- (78) FETT, JOHN H. 1971. Communication With Low-Income and Low-Education Farmers in a Developing Country. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Meeting of Mass Comm. Res., U. of Ill., Jan. 21-22.

- (79) JOHNSTON, M. 1965. The Little Rock Food Buyer and Mass Media Information. C. 524. Agr. Ext. Ser. U. of Ark., 16.
- (80) JARNAGIN, ROBERT A. 1962. Audience Inventory for More Effective Communication. Agr. Comm. Res. Rpt. 4. Col. of Agr., U. of Ill.
- (81) LEE, RICHARD L. 1967. The Flow of Information to Disadvantaged Farmers. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, U. of Iowa.
- (82) MULLENAX, FOSTER G. 1968. Mass Media Use Patterns and Interests Among West Virginia Rural Non-Farm Families of Low Socio-Economic Status. M.S. Thesis. W. Va. U.
- (83) PATES, JOHN L. 1971: Implications for Communicators from an Exploratory Study of Rural Poverty in Three Eastern S.D. Counties. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Com. on Mass Comm. Res., U. of Ill., Jan. 21-22.
- (84) ROSS, JOHN E. 1965. Wisconsin Reports 10 Years of Audience Research. ACE XLVII, No. 5.
- (85) SCHERER, C. W. 1971. Developing a Super-8mm Film System to Help Teach Nutrition to Low-Income Families; A Preliminary Report. Proceedings of the NCR-44 Reg. Meeting of Mass Comm. Res., U. of Ill., Jan. 21-22.
- (86) TROLDAHL, VERLING C. 1964. Communicating to the Suburbs. J. of Coop. Ext. II, No. 2, 82-88.
- (87) VERNER, COOLIE AND PETER M. GUBBELS. 1967. The Adoption or Rejection of Innovations by Dairy Farm Operators in the Lower Fraser Valley. Pub. II, Agr. Ec. Council, Ottawa, 91.