

Influence of Enogen Feed Corn and Conventional Yellow Dent Corn in Pelleted- or Meal-Based Diets on Finishing Pig Performance and Carcass Characteristics¹

Hadley R. Williams, Mike D. Tokach, Jason C. Woodworth, Robert D. Goodband, Joel M. DeRouchey, Steve S. Dritz,² Chad B. Paulk,³ Haley Wecker, and Hilda I. Calderón⁴

Abstract

A total of 288 pigs (DNA 241 × 600; initially 117 lb) were used in a 72-d trial to evaluate the influence of Enogen Feed corn (Enogen, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL) and conventional yellow dent corn in pelleted or meal diets on finishing pig performance and carcass characteristics. Pigs were randomly assigned to pens (8 pigs per pen) and pens were allotted by weight to 1 of 4 dietary treatments in a randomized complete block design with 9 pens per treatment. Treatments were arranged in a 2 × 2 factorial with main effects of corn source (Enogen Feed corn or conventional yellow dent) and diet forms (meal or pellet). Overall, from d 0 to 72, there was a tendency ($P < 0.10$) for a difference between corn source for average daily gain (ADG) and feed efficiency (F/G) with slightly improved performance for pigs fed conventional yellow dent corn. When diets were fed as pellets, ADG was increased ($P = < 0.001$) and F/G was improved ($P = 0.001$) compared to pigs fed meal diets. In summary, feeding pellets to pigs increased ADG and improved feed efficiency with no major differences between corn sources on growth performance.

Introduction

In a recent experiment conducted with finishing pigs fed mash diets, pigs fed Enogen Feed corn tended ($P < 0.08$) to have higher ADG than pigs fed conventional corn;⁵ however, feed efficiency was not influenced. In another study conducted at Kansas State University with 3 different particle sizes (300, 600, and 900 microns) with either conventional or Enogen Feed corn, reducing particle size improved ADG ($P = 0.014$) and feed efficiency ($P = 0.001$) with no differences in performance observed between corn source. When comparing pellet quality with

¹ Appreciation is expressed to Syngenta Seeds, LLC (Downers Grove, IL) for their partial financial support of this trial.

² Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University.

³ Department of Grain Science, College of Agriculture, Kansas State University.

⁴ Department of Statistics, College of Arts and Sciences, Kansas State University.

⁵ P. Ochonski, F. Wu, E. Arkfeld, J. M. Lattimer, J. M. DeRouchey, S. S. Dritz, R. D. Goodband, J. C. Woodworth, and M. D. Tokach. 2019. Evaluation of High Amylase Corn on Growth Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Finishing Pigs. *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 5: Iss. 8.

conventional corn and Enogen Feed corn, the percentage of gelatinized starch was greater for the Enogen Feed corn due to its high amylase content than for conventional yellow dent corn.⁶ The greater starch gelatinization suggests that Enogen Feed corn may provide benefits over conventional corn in pelleted diets; however, this has not previously been tested. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of feeding Enogen Feed corn vs. conventional yellow dent corn in pelleted and meal diets on finishing pig performance and carcass characteristics.

Procedures

The Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use committee approved the protocol used in this experiment. The trial was conducted at the Kansas State University Swine Teaching and Research Center, Manhattan, KS. All diets were manufactured at the Hubbard Feed Mill, Columbus, NE. Both Enogen Feed corn (Enogen, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL) and conventional yellow dent ground corn samples were collected for chemical analysis (Table 2). Corn was ground through the same roller mill using the same roller configurations to obtain consistent particle size. Ground corn samples were collected to verify particle size (Table 3). When running the particle size analysis, 2 samples of each corn source were used with and without the flow agent. All samples were determined according to ANSI/ASAE S319.2 standard particle size analysis method.⁷ When pelleting the diets, the goal was to achieve a conditioning temperature of 185°F and hot pellet temperature of 190°F with a corn moisture target of 13% in order to achieve the necessary temperature rise during conditioning. All pellets were analyzed for PDI using the NHP100 with a 30-s run time and a 100-g sample with a filter. Pellets were sifted before and after analysis for separation of fines and pellets using a U.S. #6 standard sieve. Air temperature and pressure within the NHP100 were recorded throughout the experiment. During feed manufacturing, completed pellets were taken directly from the die to measure the hot pellet temperature and collect samples for chemical analysis (Table 4). Chemical analysis of pellets was conducted at Rock River Laboratory, Watertown, WI.

A total of 288 pigs (241 × 600; DNA, Columbus, NE; initially 117 lb) were used in a 72-d study. There were 9 pens per treatment and 8 pigs per pen with 4 barrows and 4 gilts per pen. Pens were randomly assigned to dietary treatments and balanced based on pen weight at the start of the study. Dietary treatments (Table 1) were arranged in a 2 × 2 factorial with two corn sources (conventional yellow dent or Enogen Feed corn) and two diet forms (pellet or meal). When formulating the diets, nutritional values were assumed to be the same between conventional yellow dent and Enogen Feed corn. The experimental diets were fed in two phases: grower (day 0 to 28) and finisher (day 28 to 73).

Pen and feeder weights were obtained approximately every 2 weeks in order to calculate ADG, ADFI, and F/G (Tables 5 and 6). On d 72, all pigs were individually weighed, ear tagged with a radio frequency identification (RFID) tag, and tattooed for individual carcass data measurements. Pigs were transported to a commercial packing plant (Triumph Foods, St. Joseph, MO) for processing and collection of hot carcass weight (HCW), loin depth, backfat depth, and percentage lean. Carcass yield was calculated as HCW divided by individual live animal weight determined at the farm.

Treatments were analyzed as randomized complete block design for one-way ANOVA using the lmer function from the lme4 package in R version 3.5.1 (2018-07-2) with pen considered the experimental unit, body weight as the blocking factor, and treatment as fixed effect. The

⁶ C. Truelock, M. Tokach, C. Stark and C. Paulk. 2019. Pelleting and Starch Characteristics of Diets Containing High Amylase Corn. *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 5: Iss. 8.

⁷ ASABE Standards. (1995). S319.2: Method of determining and expressing fineness of feed materials by sieving. St. Joseph, Mich: ASABE.

main effects of corn source and diet form, as well as their interactions, were tested. Differences between treatments were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and marginally significant at $0.05 < P \leq 0.10$.

Results and Discussion

There were no major differences in the chemical analysis of conventional and Enogen Feed corn (Table 2). Particle size was similar between corn sources (Table 3). Conditioning temperature for both corn sources was lower than targeted during the grower and finisher feed manufacturing process (Table 3). This result could be because the moisture of the corn was higher than expected upon arrival to the feed mill. Conditioner moisture was higher than intended, resulting in hot pellet temperatures being lower than planned. For pellet durability index, there were no major differences between the corn sources. Overall, the PDI was lower than expected and reasons for this are unknown. There were no major differences in complete pelleted diet chemical analysis between diets within the grower and finisher phases (Table 4). Samples of complete meal diets were sent to Ward Laboratories Inc., Kearney, NE, for chemical analysis. Diets for the grower and finisher phases containing the Enogen Feed corn tended to have increased neutral detergent fiber compared to the diets containing the conventional yellow dent corn. During the grower phase, the diets containing Enogen Feed corn tended to have increased crude fiber compared to the diets containing conventional yellow dent corn.

There was no corn source \times diet form interaction other than during the finisher phase, where there was a tendency for a source \times diet form interaction ($P = 0.092$; Tables 5 and 6) for F/G, where pelleting did not improve F/G in pigs fed conventional corn but did in pigs fed Enogen Feed corn. For carcass characteristics, there was a tendency for a source \times diet form interaction ($P < 0.10$) for backfat depth and percentage lean with pigs fed Enogen Feed corn in meal diets having decreased backfat depth than pigs fed the other three diets, and pelleting conventional diets eliciting increased percent lean, but pelleting Enogen Feed corn diets eliciting reduced percent lean.

Overall, pigs fed the conventional corn tended to have increased ($P = 0.077$) ADG compared to pigs fed Enogen Feed corn, which resulted in a tendency ($P = 0.089$) for improvement in F/G. There were no other differences in performance detected between corn sources.

During the grower phase, pigs fed pelleted diets had increased ($P = < 0.001$) ADG compared to those fed meal diets. This resulted in an improvement ($P = 0.003$) in F/G for pigs fed pelleted diets. During the finisher phase, there was a significant main effect of diet form ($P = 0.049$) for ADG, with pigs fed pelleted diets having increased gain compared to pigs fed meal diets. Overall, pigs fed pelleted diets had improved ($P = < 0.001$) ADG and feed efficiency compared with pigs fed meal diets.

In conclusion, average daily gain and feed efficiency were improved when pelleted diets were fed compared to meal diets. Conventional yellow dent corn tended to improve average daily gain and feed efficiency when compared to Enogen Feed corn. The higher corn moisture at receiving made it impossible to reach the desired conditioning temperature of 185°F. Thus, starch gelatinization may have been limited, reducing the potential benefit of the Enogen Feed corn.

Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. Persons using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current label directions of the manufacturer.

Table 1. Diet composition, as-fed basis

| Ingredient, % | Grower ¹ | | Finisher ² | |
|---|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| | Meal | Pellet | Meal | Pellet |
| Corn ³ | 81.95 | 79.60 | 85.30 | 83.05 |
| Soybean meal, 46.5% CP | 15.65 | 16.50 | 12.35 | 13.10 |
| Corn oil | --- | 1.50 | --- | 1.50 |
| Salt | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Monocalcium phosphate, 21% P | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Calcium carbonate | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| L-Lysine HCl | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| L-Threonine | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| L-Tryptophan | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| DL-Methionine | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| Phytase ⁴ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Selenium premix 0.06% 600 PPM | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Trace mineral premix | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Vitamin premix with biotin | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Calculated analysis | | | | |
| Standardized ileal digestible (SID) amino acids % | | | | |
| Lysine | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| Isoleucine:lysine | 59 | 59 | 58 | 58 |
| Leucine:lysine | 144 | 142 | 150 | 148 |
| Methionine:lysine | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Threonine:lysine | 65 | 64 | 67 | 67 |
| Tryptophan:lysine | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Valine:lysine | 71 | 70 | 71 | 71 |
| Total lysine, % | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.84 |
| Net energy, kcal, lb | 1,131 | 1,156 | 1,149 | 1,174 |
| SID lysine:NE, g/Mcal | 3.31 | 3.31 | 2.94 | 2.94 |
| Crude protein, % | 13.86 | 14.09 | 12.56 | 12.74 |
| Calcium, % | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Phosphorus, % | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Analyzed Ca:analyzed P | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.24 | 1.25 |

¹Grower diets were fed from d 0 to 28.

²Finisher diets were fed from d 28 to 72.

³Enogen Feed corn (Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL) replaced conventional corn on a lb:lb basis in the diets.

⁴Axtra PHY 2500 TPT provided an estimated release of 0.15 available P.

Table 2. Chemical analysis of ground corn^{1,2}

| Item | Conventional ³ | Enogen Feed corn ⁴ |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Crude protein | 8.98 | 8.39 |
| Ether extract | 3.14 | 3.31 |
| Ash | 1.60 | 1.52 |
| ADF | 3.23 | 3.20 |
| Starch | 70.22 | 71.56 |
| Calcium | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Phosphorus | 0.24 | 0.25 |

¹Ground corn samples were taken from the roller mill at time of feed manufacturing.

²All samples were sent to Rock River Laboratory (Watertown, WI), for chemical analysis.

³Yellow dent corn.

⁴Enogen, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL.

Table 3. Pelleting parameters for conventional and Enogen Feed corn¹

| Item | Grower | | Finisher | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Conventional ² | Enogen Feed corn | Conventional | Enogen Feed corn |
| Particle size, μm | 690 | 771 | 605 | 632 |
| Conditioner mash moisture, % | 19.82 | 20.16 | 18.89 | 20.03 |
| Conditioning temperature, °F | 155.5 | 151.5 | 154.8 | 156.0 |
| Hot pellet temperature, °F | 167.7 | 170.0 | 166.6 | 166.7 |
| Pellet durability index, ² % | 53.1 | 63.5 | 56.2 | 53.1 |

¹A double pass conditioner was used, with a 3/16-in die on the pellet mill. Production rates were held constant for all 3 dietary phases at 6.5 ton/h.

²Yellow dent corn.

³Enogen, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL.

⁴All pellets were analyzed for PDI using the NHP100 with a 30-s run time and a 100-g sample with a filter. Pellets were sifted before and after analysis for separation of fines and pellets using a U.S. #6 standard sieve. Air temperature and pressure within the NHP100 were recorded throughout the experiment.

Table 4. Chemical analysis of complete diets^{1,2}

| Item, % | Meal diets ³ | | Pelleted diets ⁴ | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | Conventional ⁵ | Enogen Feed corn | Conventional | Enogen Feed corn |
| Grower | | | | |
| Dry matter | 86.3 | 86.1 | --- | --- |
| Crude protein | 14.0 | 14.1 | 15.77 | 14.5 |
| Ether extract | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.45 | 3.96 |
| Ash | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.54 | 2.4 |
| Acid detergent fiber | --- | --- | 4.69 | 5.42 |
| Neutral detergent fiber | 6.8 | 7.9 | --- | --- |
| Starch | --- | --- | 52.54 | 55.54 |
| Crude fiber | 2.3 | 2.8 | --- | --- |
| Finisher | | | | |
| Dry matter | 87.1 | 85.9 | --- | --- |
| Crude protein | 12.7 | 12.9 | 14.82 | 12.73 |
| Ether extract | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.37 | 4.46 |
| Ash | 3.3 | 3.1 | 5.67 | 5.44 |
| Acid detergent fiber | --- | --- | 5.88 | 6.12 |
| Neutral detergent fiber | 6.4 | 9.1 | --- | --- |
| Starch | --- | --- | 54.68 | 55.47 |
| Crude fiber | 2.7 | 2.9 | --- | --- |

¹Pellets were collected directly from the die at time of feed manufacturing.

²Meal diets were taken directly from the feeder 3 days after each phase began. A composite diet was riffle-divided and one sample of each treatment per phase was sent for analysis.

³Samples were sent to Ward Laboratories, Kearney, NE.

⁴All samples were sent to Rock River Laboratory, Watertown, WI.

⁵Yellow dent corn.

⁶Enogen, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL.

⁷Grower diets were fed from d 0 to 28.

⁸Finisher diets were fed from d 28 to 72.

Table 5. Interactive effects of diet form and corn sources on finishing pig performance¹

| Item | Conventional ² | | Enogen Feed corn ³ | | SEM | Probability, <i>P</i> = | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | Meal | Pellet | Meal | Pellet | | Source × diet form | Source | Diet form |
| Body weight, lb | | | | | | | | |
| d 0 | 117.8 | 117.8 | 116.8 | 118.0 | 1.388 | 0.534 | 0.726 | 0.535 |
| d 28 | 188.4 | 198.0 | 188.9 | 196.1 | 1.912 | 0.536 | 0.715 | <0.001 |
| d 72 | 297.0 | 306.6 | 292.8 | 304.4 | 2.059 | 0.588 | 0.106 | <0.001 |
| Grower ⁴ | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.53 | 2.85 | 2.55 | 2.80 | 0.060 | 0.576 | 0.809 | <0.001 |
| ADFI, lb | 6.05 | 6.19 | 6.03 | 6.31 | 0.133 | 0.585 | 0.705 | 0.123 |
| F/G | 2.40 | 2.18 | 2.35 | 2.27 | 0.052 | 0.158 | 0.678 | 0.003 |
| Finisher ⁵ | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.44 | 2.46 | 2.33 | 2.46 | 0.037 | 0.133 | 0.152 | 0.049 |
| ADFI, lb | 6.96 | 7.07 | 6.98 | 7.08 | 0.098 | 0.958 | 0.883 | 0.297 |
| F/G | 2.85 | 2.88 | 2.99 | 2.87 | 0.044 | 0.092 | 0.153 | 0.305 |
| Overall | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.48 | 2.62 | 2.42 | 2.58 | 0.028 | 0.769 | 0.077 | <0.001 |
| ADFI, lb | 6.60 | 6.73 | 6.60 | 6.78 | 0.091 | 0.766 | 0.786 | 0.103 |
| F/G | 2.67 | 2.57 | 2.72 | 2.62 | 0.030 | 0.913 | 0.089 | 0.001 |
| Carcass characteristics | | | | | | | | |
| HCW, lb | 224.1 | 233.1 | 220.7 | 230.6 | 2.312 | 0.837 | 0.221 | 0.001 |
| Carcass yield, % | 75.2 | 75.5 | 75.3 | 75.2 | 0.200 | 0.266 | 0.418 | 0.638 |
| Backfat depth, in | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.016 | 0.068 | 0.333 | 0.271 |
| Loin depth, in | 2.57 | 2.66 | 2.61 | 2.62 | 0.026 | 0.120 | 0.963 | 0.109 |
| Lean, % | 53.60 | 54.01 | 54.13 | 53.76 | 0.202 | 0.061 | 0.492 | 0.927 |

¹A total of 288 pigs (DNA 241 × 600, initially 117 lb) were used in a 72-d trial. There were 9 pens per treatment with 8 pigs per pen.

²Yellow dent corn.

³Enogen Feed corn, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL.

⁴Grower diets were fed from d 0 to 28.

⁵Finisher diets were fed from d 28 to 72.

Swine Day 2020

Table 6. Main effects of diet form and corn source on finishing pig growth performance¹

| Item | Corn source | | SEM | Probability, <i>P</i> = | Diet form | | SEM | Probability, <i>P</i> = |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------------------|
| | Conventional ² | Enogen Feed corn ³ | | | Meal | Pellet | | |
| Body weight, lb | | | | | | | | |
| d 0 | 117.8 | 117.4 | 1.220 | 0.726 | 117.3 | 117.9 | 1.210 | 0.535 |
| d 28 | 193.2 | 192.5 | 1.380 | 0.715 | 188.6 | 197.0 | 1.375 | <0.001 |
| d 72 | 301.8 | 298.6 | 1.593 | 0.106 | 294.9 | 305.5 | 1.576 | <0.001 |
| Grower ⁴ | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.69 | 2.68 | 0.042 | 0.809 | 2.54 | 2.83 | 0.042 | <0.001 |
| ADFI, lb | 6.12 | 6.17 | 0.094 | 0.705 | 6.04 | 6.25 | 0.094 | 0.123 |
| F/G | 2.29 | 2.31 | 0.039 | 0.678 | 2.38 | 2.22 | 0.039 | 0.003 |
| Finisher ⁵ | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.45 | 2.40 | 0.027 | 0.152 | 2.39 | 2.46 | 0.027 | 0.049 |
| ADFI, lb | 7.01 | 7.03 | 0.069 | 0.883 | 6.97 | 7.07 | 0.069 | 0.297 |
| F/G | 2.87 | 2.93 | 0.031 | 0.153 | 2.92 | 2.88 | 0.031 | 0.305 |
| Overall | | | | | | | | |
| ADG, lb | 2.55 | 2.50 | 0.023 | 0.077 | 2.45 | 2.60 | 0.023 | <0.001 |
| ADFI, lb | 6.67 | 6.69 | 0.065 | 0.786 | 6.60 | 6.75 | 0.065 | 0.103 |
| F/G | 2.62 | 2.67 | 0.023 | 0.089 | 2.70 | 2.60 | 0.023 | 0.001 |
| Carcass characteristics | | | | | | | | |
| HCW, lb | 228.5 | 225.6 | 1.654 | 0.221 | 222.3 | 231.8 | 1.611 | <0.001 |
| Carcass yield, % | 75.4 | 75.2 | 0.100 | 0.418 | 75.3 | 75.3 | 0.100 | 0.638 |
| Backfat depth, in | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.011 | 0.333 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 0.011 | 0.271 |
| Loin depth, in | 2.62 | 2.61 | 0.018 | 0.963 | 2.59 | 2.64 | 0.018 | 0.109 |
| Lean, % | 53.80 | 53.95 | 0.141 | 0.492 | 53.87 | 53.88 | 0.142 | 0.927 |

¹A total of 288 pigs (DNA 241 × 600, initially 117 lb) were used in a 72-d trial. There were 9 pens per treatment with 8 pigs per pen.

²Yellow dent corn.

³Enogen Feed corn, Syngenta Seeds, LLC; Downers Grove, IL.

⁴Grower diets were fed from d 0 to 28.

⁵Finisher diets were fed from d 28 to 72.

BW = body weight. ADG = average daily gain. ADFI = average daily feed intake. F/G = feed efficiency.